LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT - CITY OF SAN FERNANDO PAMPANGA CITY HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OFFICE

## CASCADING and POST TRAINING PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

NAME OF TRAINEE:	POSITION:	OFFICE/DEPARTMENT:
NAME OF RATER:	POSITION:	DATE COMPLETED:
TITLE OF TRAINING:	DATE CONDUCTED:	RESOURCE SPEAKER/S:

#### PART I. SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION

(To be accomplished by the employee's supervisor)

Please evaluate the trainee by checking his/her rating based on the descriptions below.		
DESCRIPTION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL RATING	POINT EQUIVALENT
Training is Ineffective (Lacks Consistency; does not always meet normal requirements and targets)	Needs Improvement	1
Training is Effective (Has done substantially more than what is expected and contributed improvements)	Fair	2
Training is Highly Effective (Results obtained far from the requirements of the position)	Very Satisfactory	3
Excellent Training Results (Extraordinary performance that makes the ratee stand out above others at the same general level of responsibility)	Outstanding	4

### FACTORS

FACTORS

#### CASCADING

A

Β.

CASCADING
Note: Read the following statements carefully. For every factor, rate the trainee
based on what he/she has shown in his/her present job by marking the
appropriate box.

- 1 The TOPICS discussed WERE RELEVANT TO THE OFFICE'S MANDATE.
- 2 The OBJECTIVES of the training WERE CLEARLY COMMUNICATED and easy to understand.
- 3 The FLOW AND PACE of the cascading WAS APPROPRIATE for the topics covered.
- 4 The employee was able to EFFECTIVELY EXPRESS HIS/HER NEWLY ACQUIRED KNOWLEDGE of the training topics

1	2	3	4

# OVERALL RATING:

Total Score/No. of items

1	2	3	4

- WORK PERFORMANCE Note: Read the following statements carefully. For every factor, rate the trainee based on what he/she has shown in his/her present job by marking the appropriate box.
- 1 The employee's KNOWLEDGE of the training's topic has been improved.
- 2 The employee's SKILL/S in the training's topic has shown noticeable improvement in terms of quality, efficiency and/or timeliness.
- 3 The employee has APPLIED NEW SKILLS OR SYSTEM in the performance of his/her work through learned ideas.
- 4 The employee has improved his/her ability to GENERATE IDEAS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING to the training topic.**
- 5 The employee has accepted and/or performed a HIGHER LEVEL OF RESPONSIBILITY in relation to the subject matter.
- 6 The employee was able to TRANSFER THE KNOWLEDGE and skills gained to co-workers through cascading.
- 7 The employee is able to work well without close supervision; TRIES TO ACHIEVE BEYOND THE MINIMAL LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE.

OVERALL RATING:

Plans for Improvement:		
SIGNAT	TURE ABOVE PRINTED NAME (Rater / Immediate Supervisor)	
	PART II. POST-TRAINING EVALUATION ANALYSIS (To be accomplished by CHRMO-Learning and Development Section)	
A.	CASCADING   A re-cascading must be conducted.   The training is well communicated.	
B.	WORK PERFORMANCE   There is notable improvement on the trainee's performance, knowledge and skills. Training's goal has been achieved.   Training is ineffective due to	
OTHER	NOTES:	

### EVALUATED BY:

Signature over name/ Designation PDD Personnel Date